The towering power of energy savings schemes - Northmore Gordon

Canonical: https://northmoregordon.com/articles/the-towering-power-of-energy-savings-schemes

Details:

The towering power of energy savings schemes

Originally published: 22 October 2018. Historical context checked: 21 October 2026.

In this 2018 article, Northmore Gordon argued that energy-savings schemes could bring forward lighting and other efficiency upgrades at scale. It compared historical demand-reduction scenarios with power-station output and described projects in health, cultural and industrial buildings. These comparisons, 2017 certificate prices, 2020 targets and project estimates are historical analysis. They are not current market quotes or a prediction for a new project.

The demand-side argument

The article's central point was that energy policy should consider avoided consumption as well as new supply. It cited an Energy Efficiency Certificate Creators Association analysis and argued that certificate schemes helped projects proceed earlier by reducing upfront cost. Some comparisons involved modelled national rollouts rather than measured savings. The article also discussed the barriers to adoption when site owners lack information, capital or an incentive to replace functioning equipment. Read the original article for the full 2018 assumptions and attributions.

What the examples show

The original cases include a hospital lighting retrofit, a gallery chiller upgrade and reflective roof coating at a warehouse. Each combines a technology choice with building conditions, controls and operating hours. The case figures were reported by their sources at the time; they should not be transferred to another facility as a standard saving or guaranteed payback. Use primary project records and the applicable measurement method before using a case quantity in an investment decision.

Questions for a project today

First define the present electricity or fuel load and how the facility is used. Compare upgrade options on engineering savings, reliability, maintenance and capital cost. Then check whether any current state scheme recognises the specific activity and what evidence and timing it requires. A certificate is a conditional funding component, not proof of the operating saving or a guaranteed amount. Keep any forecast certificate quantity, assumed price and net customer proceeds separate from energy-bill savings.

For current practical guidance, see energy audits , commercial lighting , measurement and verification and government schemes . Contact Northmore Gordon if you want to scope the site evidence and scheme questions.

What was the argument of 'The towering power of energy savings schemes'?

The October 2018 article argued that lowering energy demand through efficiency deserved a larger role beside electricity supply investment. It used then-current scheme data and modelled scenarios to illustrate possible scale. Its power-station comparisons were historical analysis, not current measured equivalence or a forecast for a particular site.

Were the article's 2020 targets and 2017 certificate prices current?

No. The article was published in October 2018 and cited targets to 2020 and certificate prices from 2017. Those are historical context. A current project should use today's official activity rules, implementation dates, market information and provider terms.

What project examples did the article discuss?

It described a hospital lighting upgrade, a gallery chiller upgrade and reflective roof coating at a warehouse. The examples illustrate how the building, equipment and operating pattern shape results. Reported savings, certificate quantities and payback periods are project-specific and should not be promised for a new facility.

How can energy savings schemes affect an investment case?

Where an upgrade meets a current scheme activity, certificates may support a discount or other commercial contribution. Eligibility, calculation, evidence, ownership and sale terms must be established for the specific project. Keep the certificate contribution separate from expected energy-bill and maintenance savings so the operating case is visible if incentives change.

What evidence should a current site gather before an upgrade?

Record equipment, operating hours, energy consumption, site conditions, proposed changes and intended implementation dates. An accredited provider can identify the relevant activity, method and any baseline or installation records needed before work starts. Measurement and verification may be appropriate for project-based savings, but is not a universal eligibility decision.

How should readers interpret the article's demand-side comparisons today?

Use them to understand the policy question the author raised in 2018: how much demand can be avoided through efficiency. Do not reuse the numerical comparisons without checking the original model, units, time period and present conditions. A current business decision should be based on its own load, upgrade design and applicable scheme guidance.