

China's Commitment to Green Energy

Canonical: <https://northmoregordon.com/news/chinas-commitment-to-green-energy/>

Details:

[Summary](#) | [Article](#) | [Current use](#) | [Related guidance](#)

About this update

This dated news record has been reorganised for clear reading and connected to current guidance.

Publication date: August 6, 2014.

Status note: Present-tense statements, market figures, deadlines and program details in the archived record belong to its publication date. Check current official sources before making a decision.

What this article reported

It makes sense for China to push for renewables for energy security, environmental reasons, and technological innovation that they can export. The fact it aligns with addressing climate change and making them look good is icing on cake. But a much better position than Australia finds itself in.

During Chinese premier Li Keqiang's last visit to the UK, China signed a series of deals on energy and low carbon technology, and a declaration of cooperation on climate change. A few weeks later, similar deals were signed with the US. The question is whether this demonstrates a genuine commitment from China to environmental protection, or whether it's just rhetoric in the run up to important climate change conferences in New York and Paris.

[Read full article here...](#)

How to use this information now

For current use, confirm the applicable scheme rules, regulator notices, market date and reporting period. Keep historical prices or forecasts separate from present quotes and verified outcomes.

Separate source-reported facts from forecasts, targets and commentary. For a current project, retain the official source, version, effective date, assumptions and decision record in the evidence file.

Related guidance

[Carbon advisory](#)

[Current articles and guidance](#)

[Contact Northmore Gordon](#)

What is the main topic of the Northmore Gordon article 'China's Commitment to Green Energy'?

The article discusses whether China's push for renewable energy and low-carbon technology deals represents a genuine commitment to environmental protection, or is largely rhetoric timed ahead of major climate change conferences in New York and Paris. This distinction matters for organizations evaluating the credibility and long-term viability of renewable energy markets and international climate agreements that may affect energy policy, investment opportunities, and carbon accounting frameworks in their own jurisdictions.

According to the article, why does it make sense for China to push for renewables?

The article identifies multiple motivations: energy security, environmental reasons, and technological innovation that China can export. Additional benefits include addressing climate change and improving China's international image. For organizations like our clients, understanding these drivers is relevant when assessing the stability of renewable energy markets, the credibility of international climate commitments, and whether renewable energy pathways will remain supported by major economies over the investment lifetimes of energy projects.

How does the article compare China's position to Australia's regarding renewable energy?

The article states that China's position pushing renewables is 'a much better position than Australia finds itself in,' implying a more favorable strategic stance. This comparison is relevant for Australian organizations planning energy transitions and renewable investments. Australia's regulatory frameworks—including the Renewable Energy Target, ACCU Scheme, and renewable certificate pathways—operate in a context where international momentum and competing national strategies influence policy durability, investment confidence, and the long-term viability of renewable energy projects and carbon abatement initiatives.

What diplomatic events does the article reference involving Chinese premier Li Keqiang?

The article references Li Keqiang's visit to the UK, during which China signed deals on energy and low-carbon technology and a declaration of cooperation on climate change. Similar deals were signed with the US a few weeks later. These high-level diplomatic commitments signal potential shifts in global energy policy and international climate cooperation that may influence the direction of renewable energy schemes, investment certainty, and the long-term applicability of carbon accounting frameworks that organizations use in their sustainability reporting and transition planning.

What question does the article raise about China's renewable energy deals with the UK and US?

The article raises the question of whether these deals demonstrate genuine commitment from China to environmental protection, or whether they are primarily rhetoric ahead of important climate change conferences in New York and Paris. This distinction is material for organizations assessing the durability of renewable energy markets, the credibility of international climate targets, and the likelihood that renewable energy pathways and carbon reduction commitments will receive sustained policy support. Our clients developing transition plans should consider both optimistic and contingency scenarios reflecting different outcomes of international climate diplomacy.

How does international renewable energy momentum affect organizations planning energy projects in Australia?

Strong international commitments to renewables from major economies like China support the credibility and likely continuity of schemes like Australia's Renewable Energy Target, renewable certificate pathways (LGCs and REGOs), and carbon credit mechanisms. Conversely, if commitments prove rhetorical, policy reversals or reduced investment in renewable technologies could affect equipment costs, certificate prices, and the commercial viability of renewable projects. Organizations should assess renewable energy projects with and without assumptions about sustained policy support, as we recommend separating feasibility from conditional funding scenarios.

Why is distinguishing genuine commitment from rhetoric important for carbon transition planning?

Organizations developing transition plans, setting net-zero targets, or preparing ASRS sustainability reports need confidence that the international and domestic policy frameworks supporting renewables and carbon reduction will remain in place over multi-year project timelines. If major economies' renewable energy commitments are uncertain, the business case for large capital investments in electrification, renewable generation, or carbon abatement weakens. Our transition planning services help clients map assumptions about policy stability, renewable energy market viability, and certificate price sustainability into their feasibility assessments and investment decisions.

What does China's renewable energy strategy suggest about the future competitiveness of renewable technologies?

China's emphasis on exporting renewable technology innovation suggests increasing global competition and potential cost reductions in solar, wind, battery, and other green technologies. This benefits organizations planning energy projects by potentially lowering equipment costs and improving the return on capital for renewable energy and electrification investments. Our clients can incorporate realistic technology cost assumptions into their investment cases and feasibility studies, particularly for solar, storage, and process heat electrification options that compete on both technical and financial grounds.